

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
POR 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO: 09/842,637 04/27/2001 Anthony Robert Milnes Coates Q-64007 9237

7590

08/21/2003

LAW OFFICES SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.: WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

	. %t	
EXAM	IINER	
MARX,	MARX, IRENE	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER '	

1651

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/842,637	COATES ET AL.			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Irene Marx	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) 	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by ma	rially reducing or sin	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: see attachment.		•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	•			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely-filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consi e attachment.	dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	***	<u></u>			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-7 and 9</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	·			
10. Other:			•		
			<i>,</i>		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Irene Marx Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651	•		

Art Unit: 1651

Note:

The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search with respect to the proposed amendment to claim 9 to replace "stationary phase culture" with "dormant", the deletion of "or a composition comprising said test compound"; the deletion of the optional isolation step, including new issues under 35 U.S.C § 112 and of new matter. New issues requiring further consideration are also raised with respect to antecedent basis in claim 9 regarding "said dormant phase bacteria" in the last line and in claim 3 regarding "said dormant phase culture".

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The arguments pertain to claims that are not entered.

The article by Yu et al. was considered only to the extent that it pertains to "genotypic" and "phenotypic" resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. There is no indication in this article that the touted definition pertains to bacteria other Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Moreover, definitions pertaining to the specific circumstances of infection of mice with the specific bacteria Mycobacterium tuberculosis, having specific persistence properties due to intracellular infection, cannot reasonably be extrapolated to all bacteria, having various unrelated properties.

In any event, the definitions provided in the Yu et al. article are not probative of definitions generally used in this art. It is noted that two of the authors of the paper are inventors in the present application, and that the priority date of this application is prior to the publication date of the paper.

Therefore the rejections are deemed proper and are adhered to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (703) 308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is before final (703) 872-9306 and after final, (703) 872-9307.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 308-0198 or the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Irene Marx
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651